

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Sizewell C](#)
Subject: Deadline B (April 7th) and Preliminary Meetings Part 2
Date: 06 April 2021 11:18:45

From Ian Rose

Reference No. 20025779

Comments on the Preliminary Meetings and Part 2.

I wish to begin by reiterating my previous concerns on the way in which the process is being conducted.

The experience of such long meetings being available only on a computer is extremely tiring and the technical difficulties and complexities associated with this are such that many people will be discouraged from continuing. Indeed many people do not have the equipment or skills to take part in this process which is of particular importance not only to the local population but to the whole of Britain (and, in the example of the recent communications of concern from Ireland) and the rest of the world.

I am surprised that the Inspectorate will not decide whether to accept the recent application from EDF until the examination is underway.

I believe that this leaning toward EDF's position and should be delayed until the decision is taken. EDFs claim that that their position is urgent but there is no funding model in place or any real new plans proposed.

It will not be very long at all until open floor meetings will be allowed so that those who wish to contribute will be able to do so in person.

I am still concerned, as I believe are many organisations, that EDFs costal defence plans are incomplete and rely on a range of options rather than properly calculated and detailed plans.

The effect on our small country and wider Europe of a catastrophe like Fukushima is not to be contemplated. These plans need to be tested in public.

EDF's insistence that the project life cycle is a contribution to Net Zero are laughable especially considered the government's planned timescale.

EDF's new plans are very difficult to understand in their new form and in many ways are not definite plans but a wish list.

I have struggled with attendance, understanding and technology at the first meetings and it is with great reluctance that I have decided not to speak at further meetings but have asked the **"Stop Sizewell C"** group to speak for my point of view and my concerns in future.

Your insistence on using technology and not proper public examination in this vital case has made this decision inevitable and I'm afraid this is leading to a bias toward EDF because I am sure others are having real difficulties.

Examination on other large projects in Suffolk have been moved until restrictions allow

proper public involvement why not this one.

Ian Rose